Regulator reviewed: **General Chiropractic Council**

**Key facts & figures:**
- Regulates the practice of chiropractors in the **United Kingdom**
- 3,356 professionals on register
- £750 fee for initial registration; the fee for retention is **£800**. (The GCC offers a reduced fee of **£100** for those not intending to practise.)

---

**Standards of good regulation met**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard</th>
<th>Met (out of 5)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Standards</td>
<td>4/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guidance and Standards</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education and Training</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>4/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness to Practise</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We look carefully at a range of evidence to decide whether each Standard is met or not. The total number of Standards met does not on its own give the full picture of how a regulator is performing. Read the full performance review to find out more.

Find out more about our performance reviews at: [www.professionalstandards.org.uk/performance_reviews](http://www.professionalstandards.org.uk/performance_reviews)
Focus on: **How the GCC is meeting the Standards**

In our annual review of performance, we did not see sufficient evidence that the GCC systematically considers the equality and diversity implications of its initiatives and therefore concluded that it had not met Standard 3. The information available in relation to the other Standards did not give rise to concerns about the GCC’s performance. Therefore, we concluded that the GCC has met 17 of our 18 Standards of Good Regulation.

**GENERAL STANDARDS: PROCESSES DO NOT IMPOSE INAPPROPRIATE BARRIERS OR OTHERWISE DISADVANTAGE PEOPLE WITH PROTECTED CHARACTERISTICS**

The GCC told us that it collects registrants’ equality information upon registration and we saw that it reports on the diversity of the register. We did not, however, see an example of how the GCC uses the data about the individuals on its register to consider whether there was evidence of its processes having differing effects on people with protected characteristics. We have also not seen evidence of a completed Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) and we saw limited evidence of the GCC’s considerations of whether an EIA is necessary, or how equality implications were considered in practice.

**GENERAL STANDARDS: THE REGULATOR ADDRESSES CONCERNS IDENTIFIED ABOUT IT AND CONSIDERS THE IMPLICATIONS FOR IT OF FINDINGS OF PUBLIC INQUIRIES AND OTHER RELEVANT REPORTS**

Following an inquest in November 2019, the GCC was asked by the Coroner to consider chiropractors being given mandatory first aid training and a requirement for pre-treatment imaging. The GCC acted by issuing a bulletin to registrants about expectations in relation to first aid training and it has started a review of how imaging is used within chiropractic, with input from a range of experts.

**GUIDANCE AND STANDARDS: UP-TO-DATE STANDARDS FOR REGISTRANTS ARE KEPT UNDER REVIEW**

In this review period the GCC made an amendment to the part of its Code which relates to ensuring that advertising is legal, honest and truthful. The amendment included adding reference to the Advertising Standards Agency and became effective from October 2019. This reflected learning from the GCC’s handling of complaints about advertising.

**REGISTRATION: THE RISK OF NON-REGISTRANTS USING PROTECTED TITLES IS MANAGED**

Last year, the GCC did not meet our Standard relating to illegal practice due to a backlog of cases that had accumulated since 2015. We have seen that the GCC appears to have made good progress in addressing this backlog this year and has improved the transparency of its reporting in this regard, so the Standard is now met.

**FITNESS TO PRACTISE: THE REGULATOR’S PROCESS FOR EXAMINING AND INVESTIGATING CASES IS FAIR, PROPORTIONATE, DEALS WITH CASES AS QUICKLY AS IS CONSISTENT WITH A FAIR RESOLUTION OF THE CASE**

The GCC introduced new decision-making guidance for its Investigating Committee in October 2019, which includes threshold criteria for determining unacceptable professional conduct. This is a significant change to the GCC process, which aims to create more consistency and transparency in the decisions of the Investigating Committee.

You can find out more details in the full report which is available on our website [www.professionalstandards.org.uk/performance-reviews](http://www.professionalstandards.org.uk/performance-reviews)